## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JEREMY A. CROZIER,	) 3:10-CV-0082-LRH (VPC)
Plaintiff,	) MINUTES OF THE COURT
vs.	) February 10, 2011
CORTEZ MASTO, et al.,	)
Defendants.	) )
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE	E VALERIE P. COOKE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MA	ANN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER(S)	: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT(	S): NONE APPEARING

## MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of court's denial of plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (#27). Defendants opposed the motion for reconsideration (#31). No reply was filed. The court has also reviewed plaintiff's original motion for appointment of counsel (#16), defendants' opposition (#18), plaintiff's supplements to his motion (#s 20, 22, & 23), and defendants' reply (#24).

The court does not find the required exceptional circumstances for the appointment of counsel. *Terrell v. Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991). The plaintiff has demonstrated that he is able litigate this case on his own while also litigating many other cases simultaneously. In fact, plaintiff has even attempted to litigate on behalf of all other inmates in the State of Nevada in at least two other cases, nos. 3:10-CV-0371-HDM (RAM), and no. 3:10-CV-0328-RCJ (RAM). Plaintiff is currently the subject to a motion by defendants to have him declared a vexatious litigant (#32). In fact, plaintiff has proceeded in a way which is detrimental to his case by refusing to appear for a court ordered status hearing (#28).

Plaintiff has litigated his access to the law library and the Court found that the fact that plaintiff "finds the process for accessing legal materials somewhat cumbersome, does not amount an injury. . . ." (#19, case no. 3:09-CV-0326-RCJ (RAM).¹ Plaintiff continues to reallege this claim in subsequent lawsuits, i.e. case no. 3:09-CV-0535-LRH (RAM), which were dismissed. Therefore,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Plaintiff has filed an appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

**IT IS ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of court's denial of plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (#27) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

	LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK	
By:	/s/	
	Deputy Clerk	